



PHILIPPINE
N O R M A L
U N I V E R S I T Y



Graduate Dissertations

CGSTER

2023

Toward a Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development

Ma. Wenna B. Fernandez

Philippine Normal University

Follow this and additional works at
pnu-onlinecommons.org/omp/index.php



Online Commons Citation

Fernandez, M. W. B., Tuga, B. J., & Yeban, F. I. (2023)

Toward a Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development

(Dissertation, Philippine Normal University)

Retrieved from pnu-onlinecommons.org/omp/index.php/pnu-oc/catalog/book/1489

Toward a Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development

A Dissertation Presented to the Faculty of
The College of Graduate Studies and Teacher Education Research
Philippine Normal University
The National Center for Teacher Education
Manila


In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements on the Degree
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

MA. WENNA B. FERNANDEZ

_____ 2023

APPROVAL SHEET

This dissertation entitled **"TOWARD A DUAL-GROWTH MODEL OF CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT"** prepared and submitted by **MA. WENNA B. FERNANDEZ** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction**, is hereby recommended for approval and acceptance.



FELICIA I. YEBAN, Ph.D.
Adviser



BERT J. TUGA, Ph.D.
Adviser


Approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree **Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction** by the Oral Examination Committee.



ARLYNE C. MARASIGAN, Ph.D.
Chairperson



RONALD ALLAN S. MABUNGA, Ph.D.
Member



DANILO K. VILLENA, Ph.D.
Member



WILMA S. REYES, Ph.D.
Member

Accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree **Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction**.

March 2, 2023

Date



MARILYN U. BALAGTAS, Ph.D.

Dean
College of Graduate Studies and
Teacher Education Research

ABSTRACT

Name: Ma. Wenna B. Fernandez

Title: TOWARD A DUAL-GROWTH MODEL OF CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Key Concepts: Curriculum, Curriculum Development, Curriculum Development Model

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction

Advisers: Dr. Felicia I. Yeban
Dr. Bert J. Tuga

Three main objectives provided the direction for this qualitative study. First, to explain the stages in the development of the Green Teacher Education Curriculum of Philippine Normal University Visayas; second, to describe the experiences of the faculty as participants in the curriculum development process; and third, to develop a model of curriculum development grounded from the experiences of the faculty. Purely qualitative in nature, this study also makes use of document analysis and ex post facto method as research methodology. It takes the form of an ex post facto research as interview data were gathered after the process of developing the curriculum has already culminated. Document analysis was utilized in looking into technical reports and minutes of meeting which provided the data in describing the process of curriculum development. In describing the experiences of the faculty, semi-structured interview and FGD were the methods of data collection. Since this study is also characterized as an ex post facto research, the calendar technique was used in the process of conducting the interview.

Moreover, in the formulation of the model, the pagsasalintulad methodology was utilized. The data gathered were validated through member checking and triangulation. Data analysis revealed that the process of curriculum development undergoes the Priming, Calibration, Immersion, and Practice or the PCIP stages. The acronym 4As, which stand for Ambivalence, Acceptance, Adaptation, and Acclamation encapsulates the experiences of the faculty involved in the process. With these two sets of data, the dual-growth model of curriculum development was conceptualized.

Dedication

To:

*God
the giver of life
and from whom all provisions come;*

*Tatay and Nanay (+)
Who always believe in me
And love me in the best way that they can;*

*Tiyoy Nonong (+), Tiyay Lucy (+) and Tito Daniel (+)
Who claimed my achievement to be theirs,
My prayer warriors,
and
my avid fans.*

Words of Appreciation

The famous proverb from Lao Tzu, a Chinese philosopher which says “a journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step” aptly applies to this work. Indeed, the road towards the completion of this paper was long and bumpy, with lots of stumbling blocks along the way, challenges that tend to slacken my pace, weaken my resolve, and made my journey almost come to a halt with the finish line far in sight. Yes, a journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step, a step that is resolute and committed to push through and to never give up, until one reaches the desired end. However, this single, resolute and committed step is not taken alone, as all throughout the journey and in various stopovers leading to the destination, some individuals who are generous with their time and expertise, and kind with their words provided assistance and support, motivation and inspiration, and strength to move forward. To these individuals, I am indebted and it is with them, that I am sharing this humble work.

I would never be in the PhD in CIN program if not for the visionary leadership of the former President of Philippine Normal University, Dr. Ester V. Ogena, who dreamed of having a strong and competent faculty force and thus opened scholarships opportunities to many faculty members in the PNU system. We, faculty scholars were fortunate enough that succeeding administrations of the University were equally supportive and understanding of our plight. For paving the way and for all the support and understanding, my deepest gratitude to all of you - Dr. Ester V. Ogena, Dr. Ma. Antoinette C. Montealegre and Dr. Bert J. Tuga as well as to the other members of the administrative

domain of the previous and current leadership including those from PNU Visayas campus, Dr. Marites C. Geronimo, Dr. Gliceria Arlyne G. Garancho, and Dr. Denmark L. Yonson. Your unwavering commitment to excellence in terms of improving faculty profile has made this doctoral journey possible not only for me but for also for other faculty scholars of the university.

This work would never be completed without my ever patient adviser, Dr. Felicia I. Yeban, who has been generous with her time and expertise, always ready to guide and critic, and has a way of pushing her advisees to think deeply and critically and to come up with outputs that are at par with the standards set by the University. Ma'am Feliece, thank you is not enough. Your advising style is something that any thesis or dissertation writer would look for. You are always ready for us, even if we are not. Without your guidance and supervision, this work will never be completed.

I am also indebted to my other adviser, Dr. Bert J. Tuga, who was instrumental in the conceptualization of this study and from whom I received the initial support and affirmation that there is substance in the research problem I planned to work on. Despite of his busy schedule as Vice President and eventually President of this University, he still managed to share his invaluable insights and gave this paper his precious time especially during the colloquium, the final defense, and in reviewing the post colloquium revised version of this manuscript. Thank you Sir PBJT, for this and for everything.

This humble work was further improved and polished due to the expert opinion and suggestions of the oral examination panel members Dr. Ronald Allan S. Mabunga, Dr. Wilma S. Reyes, Dr. Danilo K. Villena, and Chairs, Dr. Arlyne C. Marasigan during the

final defense and Dr. Adonis David during the colloquium. Definitely, their insights coupled with their expertise on research are valuable contributions to the improvement of the paper. To the five of you, thank you very much.

Heartfelt thanks goes to the faculty members of the College of Graduate Studies and Teacher Education Research (CGSTER) as well as to the former and current deans, Dr. Ronald Allan S. Mabunga, Dr. Antriman V. Orleans, and Dr. Marilyn U. Balagtas. Because of your excellence and dedication to teaching, I was able to develop a profound understanding of the specialization (Curriculum and Instruction) I enrolled myself into.

This paper would never be complete if not for the wholehearted involvement of the research participants of this study. Thank you so much Dr. Alberto Rico, Dr. Denmark Yonson, and Dr. Ruel Bonganciso, Dr. Desiree Caceres, Dr. Gliceria Arlyn Garancho, Dr. Anna Liza Santillana, Dr. May Flor Sentina, Dr. Sandra Miranda, and Dr. Hazel Andrada for always making yourselves available for the initial and succeeding interviews, and also during the validation process. You never had a second thought when I approached you to be participants of this study.

To the three sugarcane farmers, Mr. Aniano Delos Reyes, Mr. Amelito F. Miguello, and Mr. Lizares who were very accommodating and informative. Thank you for your time during the interview and for patiently explaining to me the process of growing sugarcane which provided the metaphor for this study and to which the dual-growth model is patterned. Thank you also to my former student and now friend, Ana Marjelyn M. Rubin for introducing me to these farmers.

To my language editor, Dr. Victoria B. Uvas, you never hesitated to accept my paper and put in your comments and suggestions. Your kind words boost my morale as a researcher. Thank you so much ma'am.

To the kind souls in the Graduate Research Office, Mr. Nathan Dalida, Dr. Arlyne C. Marasigan and the former head, Dr. Adonis P. David, thank you always for your help and assistance.

To my ever-dependable friend from PNU Manila, Mr. Gerard Manuel, thank you for all the assistance. I never hesitate to call you when the need arises because I know you will never turn your back on me. Also, special thanks to Dr. Darryl Roy T. Montebon for his gentle prodding to finish the paper and for having the final copy of this paper bounded.

My PhD journey would be much difficult if not for the presence of my co-scholars and my classmates in the CIN program who I shared light and difficult moments with, Rachelle, Laarni, Donna, Eljie, Judith, Lorena and Jerick, thank you for being each other's support group. My appreciation as well to the other faculty scholars, Jeanette, Brenda, Tess, Josie, Femilyn, Gizel, Jerry, Vivien, especially those from my own campus, May Flor, Aina, Bong and Benilds. We were each other's cheerleaders especially in challenging times.

My support group who always believe in me, my father, Tatay Willy; my siblings, Ng Ting and Ng Aki, Botchoy and Glo, and Rosdel; my nieces and nephews, Nico, Ace, Lexa, Khyli, Liana, MJ, and Matti; my ever present cousin Ng Terry and her family, Pie, Tonton, Toto and Nonoy; my dearest friend whom I can be myself with and who is always

ready to support me and listen to my rants, May; my special friends who are like family to me, Meah, Mamus, Tatus, Ann, Bel and Tibs; you are all the handrails in the staircase which provided support in each step. Thank you for the warmth you add to my life.

The reason for my being, my source of strength and comfort, my Almighty God. Thank you dear Lord for the unconditional love, for the faithfulness. Your grace is always sufficient. Without Your provision, I am nothing. To You my Lord all the glory and honor belong.

Indeed, a journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step. But one need not travel alone. Burdens are ease when people are there to support you, lift you up, challenge you and inspire you to do more and to continue moving towards the finish line. To all of you who joined me in this journey, *madamo gid nga salamat*.

- Wenna

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Approval Sheet	ii
Abstract	iii
Dedication	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents	xi
List of Appendices	xv
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tables	xvii
Chapter 1. The Problem and Literature Review	
Background	1
Conceptual Framework	5
Literature Review	
The Nature of Curriculum	12
Curriculum Development as a Process and as a Product	14
The Process of Curriculum Development	14
Curriculum Development from Two Lenses	15
Curriculum Development Models	16
Curriculum Development and the Teacher	28

Theories of Change	28
Environmental Education and the Teacher Education Curriculum	33
Research Objectives	36
Scope and Limitations	36
Definition of Terms	36
 Chapter 2. Procedures	
Qualitative Design and Methodology	39
Research Site	42
Selection Criteria and Participants	43
Data Collection	44
Data Analysis	46
Role of Researcher	48
Methods of Validation	48
Ethical Considerations	49
 Chapter 3. Findings and Discussion	
The Processes in the Development of a Green Teacher Education Curriculum	51
Priming Stage	52
Setting Off Benchmark Data	54
Scanning the Environment	55

Calibration Stage	59
Internal Assessment of Current Capacities	60
Upskilling and Reskilling Phase	62
Immersion Stage	64
Curriculum Framework Development	65
Curriculum Mapping	66
Curriculum Designing	67
Curriculum Triangulation	69
Practice Stage	71
Curriculum Authorization	71
Pre-Implementation Phase	72
Curriculum Implementation	74
Description of the Process	74
Experiences of the Faculty in Curriculum Development	75
The Stage of Ambivalence	76
The Stage of Acceptance	84
The Stage of Adaptation	92
The Stage of Acclamation	101
Model of Curriculum Development	110
Background of the Model	110
The Tubo: It's Life Cycle and Growth Stages	112

The Experience of the Faculty and the Transition of Patdan	115
The Curriculum and the Cultivation Process	122
The Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development	135
Cultivating the Ground for Growth	138
Nurturing the Signs of Growth	142
Gearing towards Full-Growth	145
Readying for Harvest	148
New Growth	150
Principles Underlying the Dual-Growth Model	152
 Chapter 4. Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	
Summary of the Study	154
Limitations	157
Conclusion	158
Recommendations	159
 References	160
Appendices	177

List of Appendices

Appendix	Page
A Guide Questions for Document Analysis	177
B Semi-Structured Interview Guide	178
C Focus Group Discussion Guide Questions	179
D Interview Guide for Sugarcane Farmers	180
E Letter to the Head of the Campus	181
F Sample Signed Informed Consent	182
G Sample Calendar Recall Instrument	184
H Sample Technical Report in the Crafting of GTEC	192
I Manual on Sugarcane Growing	194
J Sample Verbatim Transcription of Interview Data	196
K Sample Data Analysis	199
L Verbatim Transcription of Interview Data from Sugarcane Farmers	203
M Pictures During Interview with Sugarcane Farmers	204
N Pictures of FGD with Research Participants	205
O Clearance to Proceed	206
P Certificate of Compliance	207
Q Certificate for Language Editing	208
R Authenticity Result	209
S Curriculum Vitae	210

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	Conceptual Framework of the Study	12
2	The PCIP, the 4As and the Resultant Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development	136
3	The Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development	137

List of Tables

Table		Page
1	Summary Table of Curriculum Models	24
2	PCIP Stages in the Development of the Green Teacher Education Curriculum	51
3	Life and Growth Stages of Sugarcane and It's Cultivation Activities	114
4	Stages of Sugarcane's Life and Growth vis-à-vis Stages of Faculty Experience and Curriculum Development	118
5	Growth and Life Stages of Sugarcane Growth vis-à-vis Stages of Curriculum Development and Faculty Experience	124

Toward a Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development

A Dissertation Presented to the Faculty of
The College of Graduate Studies and Teacher Education Research
Philippine Normal University
The National Center for Teacher Education
Manila


In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements on the Degree
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

MA. WENNA B. FERNANDEZ

_____ 2023

APPROVAL SHEET

This dissertation entitled **"TOWARD A DUAL-GROWTH MODEL OF CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT"** prepared and submitted by **MA. WENNA B. FERNANDEZ** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction**, is hereby recommended for approval and acceptance.



FELICIA I. YEBAN, Ph.D.
Adviser



BERT J. TUGA, Ph.D.
Adviser

Approved in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree **Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction** by the Oral Examination Committee.



ARLYNE C. MARASIGAN, Ph.D.
Chairperson



RONALD ALLAN S. MABUNGA, Ph.D.
Member



DANILO K. VILLENA, Ph.D.
Member



WILMA S. REYES, Ph.D.
Member

Accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree **Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction**.

Date

MARILYN U. BALAGTAS, Ph.D.
Dean
College of Graduate Studies and
Teacher Education Research

ABSTRACT

Name: Ma. Wenna B. Fernandez

Title: TOWARD A DUAL-GROWTH MODEL OF CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Key Concepts: Curriculum, Curriculum Development, Curriculum Development Model

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy in Curriculum and Instruction

Advisers: Dr. Felicia I. Yeban
Dr. Bert J. Tuga

Three main objectives provided the direction for this qualitative study. First, to explain the stages in the development of the Green Teacher Education Curriculum of Philippine Normal University Visayas; second, to describe the experiences of the faculty as participants in the curriculum development process; and third, to develop a model of curriculum development grounded from the experiences of the faculty. Purely qualitative in nature, this study also makes use of document analysis and ex post facto method as research methodology. It takes the form of an ex post facto research as interview data were gathered after the process of developing the curriculum has already culminated. Document analysis was utilized in looking into technical reports and minutes of meeting which provided the data in describing the process of curriculum development. In describing the experiences of the faculty, semi-structured interview and FGD were the methods of data collection. Since this study is also characterized as an ex post facto research, the calendar technique was used in the process of conducting the interview.

Moreover, in the formulation of the model, the pagsasalintulad methodology was utilized. The data gathered were validated through member checking and triangulation. Data analysis revealed that the process of curriculum development undergoes the Priming, Calibration, Immersion, and Practice or the PCIP stages. The acronym 4As, which stand for Ambivalence, Acceptance, Adaptation, and Acclamation encapsulates the experiences of the faculty involved in the process. With these two sets of data, the dual-growth model of curriculum development was conceptualized.

Dedication

To:

*God
the giver of life
and from whom all provisions come;*

*Tatay and Nanay (+)
Who always believe in me
And love me in the best way that they can;*

*Tiyoy Nonong (+), Tiyay Lucy (+) and Tito Daniel (+)
Who claimed my achievement to be theirs,
My prayer warriors,
and
my avid fans.*

Words of Appreciation

The famous proverb from Lao Tzu, a Chinese philosopher which says “a journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step” aptly applies to this work. Indeed, the road towards the completion of this paper was long and bumpy, with lots of stumbling blocks along the way, challenges that tend to slacken my pace, weaken my resolve, and made my journey almost come to a halt with the finish line far in sight. Yes, a journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step, a step that is resolute and committed to push through and to never give up, until one reaches the desired end. However, this single, resolute and committed step is not taken alone, as all throughout the journey and in various stopovers leading to the destination, some individuals who are generous with their time and expertise, and kind with their words provided assistance and support, motivation and inspiration, and strength to move forward. To these individuals, I am indebted and it is with them, that I am sharing this humble work.

I would never be in the PhD in CIN program if not for the visionary leadership of the former President of Philippine Normal University, Dr. Ester V. Ogena, who dreamed of having a strong and competent faculty force and thus opened scholarships opportunities to many faculty members in the PNU system. We, faculty scholars were fortunate enough that succeeding administrations of the University were equally supportive and understanding of our plight. For paving the way and for all the support and understanding, my deepest gratitude to all of you - Dr. Ester V. Ogena, Dr. Ma. Antoinette C. Montealegre and Dr. Bert J. Tuga as well as to the other members of the administrative

domain of the previous and current leadership including those from PNU Visayas campus, Dr. Marites C. Geronimo, Dr. Gliceria Arlyne G. Garancho, and Dr. Denmark L. Yonson. Your unwavering commitment to excellence in terms of improving faculty profile has made this doctoral journey possible not only for me but for also for other faculty scholars of the university.

This work would never be completed without my ever patient adviser, Dr. Felicia I. Yeban, who has been generous with her time and expertise, always ready to guide and critic, and has a way of pushing her advisees to think deeply and critically and to come up with outputs that are at par with the standards set by the University. Ma'am Feliece, thank you is not enough. Your advising style is something that any thesis or dissertation writer would look for. You are always ready for us, even if we are not. Without your guidance and supervision, this work will never be completed.

I am also indebted to my other adviser, Dr. Bert J. Tuga, who was instrumental in the conceptualization of this study and from whom I received the initial support and affirmation that there is substance in the research problem I planned to work on. Despite of his busy schedule as Vice President and eventually President of this University, he still managed to share his invaluable insights and gave this paper his precious time especially during the colloquium, the final defense, and in reviewing the post colloquium revised version of this manuscript. Thank you Sir PBJT, for this and for everything.

This humble work was further improved and polished due to the expert opinion and suggestions of the oral examination panel members Dr. Ronald Allan S. Mabunga, Dr. Wilma S. Reyes, Dr. Danilo K. Villena, and Chairs, Dr. Arlyne C. Marasigan during the

final defense and Dr. Adonis David during the colloquium. Definitely, their insights coupled with their expertise on research are valuable contributions to the improvement of the paper. To the five of you, thank you very much.

Heartfelt thanks goes to the faculty members of the College of Graduate Studies and Teacher Education Research (CGSTER) as well as to the former and current deans, Dr. Ronald Allan S. Mabunga, Dr. Antriman V. Orleans, and Dr. Marilyn U. Balagtas. Because of your excellence and dedication to teaching, I was able to develop a profound understanding of the specialization (Curriculum and Instruction) I enrolled myself into.

This paper would never be complete if not for the wholehearted involvement of the research participants of this study. Thank you so much Dr. Alberto Rico, Dr. Denmark Yonson, and Dr. Ruel Bonganciso, Dr. Desiree Caceres, Dr. Gliceria Arlyn Garancho, Dr. Anna Liza Santillana, Dr. May Flor Sentina, Dr. Sandra Miranda, and Dr. Hazel Andrada for always making yourselves available for the initial and succeeding interviews, and also during the validation process. You never had a second thought when I approached you to be participants of this study.

To the three sugarcane farmers, Mr. Aniano Delos Reyes, Mr. Amelito F. Miguello, and Mr. Lizares who were very accommodating and informative. Thank you for your time during the interview and for patiently explaining to me the process of growing sugarcane which provided the metaphor for this study and to which the dual-growth model is patterned. Thank you also to my former student and now friend, Ana Marjelyn M. Rubin for introducing me to these farmers.

To my language editor, Dr. Victoria B. Uvas, you never hesitated to accept my paper and put in your comments and suggestions. Your kind words boost my morale as a researcher. Thank you so much ma'am.

To the kind souls in the Graduate Research Office, Mr. Nathan Dalida, Dr. Arlyne C. Marasigan and the former head, Dr. Adonis P. David, thank you always for your help and assistance.

To my ever-dependable friend from PNU Manila, Mr. Gerard Manuel, thank you for all the assistance. I never hesitate to call you when the need arises because I know you will never turn your back on me. Also, special thanks to Dr. Darryl Roy T. Montebon for his gentle prodding to finish the paper and for having the final copy of this paper bounded.

My PhD journey would be much difficult if not for the presence of my co-scholars and my classmates in the CIN program who I shared light and difficult moments with, Rachelle, Laarni, Donna, Eljie, Judith, Lorena and Jerick, thank you for being each other's support group. My appreciation as well to the other faculty scholars, Jeanette, Brenda, Tess, Josie, Femilyn, Gizel, Jerry, Vivien, especially those from my own campus, May Flor, Aina, Bong and Benilds. We were each other's cheerleaders especially in challenging times.

My support group who always believe in me, my father, Tatay Willy; my siblings, Ng Ting and Ng Aki, Botchoy and Glo, and Rosdel; my nieces and nephews, Nico, Ace, Lexa, Khyli, Liana, MJ, and Matti; my ever present cousin Ng Terry and her family, Pie, Tonton, Toto and Nonoy; my dearest friend whom I can be myself with and who is always

ready to support me and listen to my rants, May; my special friends who are like family to me, Meah, Mamus, Tatus, Ann, Bel and Tibs; you are all the handrails in the staircase which provided support in each step. Thank you for the warmth you add to my life.

The reason for my being, my source of strength and comfort, my Almighty God. Thank you dear Lord for the unconditional love, for the faithfulness. Your grace is always sufficient. Without Your provision, I am nothing. To You my Lord all the glory and honor belong.

Indeed, a journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step. But one need not travel alone. Burdens are ease when people are there to support you, lift you up, challenge you and inspire you to do more and to continue moving towards the finish line. To all of you who joined me in this journey, *madamo gid nga salamat*.

- Wenna

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Approval Sheet	ii
Abstract	iii
Dedication	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents	xi
List of Appendices	xv
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tables	xvii
Chapter 1. The Problem and Literature Review	
Background	1
Conceptual Framework	5
Literature Review	
The Nature of Curriculum	12
Curriculum Development as a Process and as a Product	14
The Process of Curriculum Development	14
Curriculum Development from Two Lenses	15
Curriculum Development Models	16
Curriculum Development and the Teacher	28

Theories of Change	28
Environmental Education and the Teacher Education Curriculum	33
Research Objectives	36
Scope and Limitations	36
Definition of Terms	36
 Chapter 2. Procedures	
Qualitative Design and Methodology	39
Research Site	42
Selection Criteria and Participants	43
Data Collection	44
Data Analysis	46
Role of Researcher	48
Methods of Validation	48
Ethical Considerations	49
 Chapter 3. Findings and Discussion	
The Processes in the Development of a Green Teacher Education Curriculum	51
Priming Stage	52
Setting Off Benchmark Data	54
Scanning the Environment	55

Calibration Stage	59
Internal Assessment of Current Capacities	60
Upskilling and Reskilling Phase	62
Immersion Stage	64
Curriculum Framework Development	65
Curriculum Mapping	66
Curriculum Designing	67
Curriculum Triangulation	69
Practice Stage	71
Curriculum Authorization	71
Pre-Implementation Phase	72
Curriculum Implementation	74
Description of the Process	74
Experiences of the Faculty in Curriculum Development	75
The Stage of Ambivalence	76
The Stage of Acceptance	84
The Stage of Adaptation	92
The Stage of Acclamation	101
Model of Curriculum Development	110
Background of the Model	110
The Tubo: It's Life Cycle and Growth Stages	112

The Experience of the Faculty and the Transition of Patdan	115
The Curriculum and the Cultivation Process	122
The Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development	135
Cultivating the Ground for Growth	138
Nurturing the Signs of Growth	142
Gearing towards Full-Growth	145
Readying for Harvest	148
New Growth	150
Principles Underlying the Dual-Growth Model	152
 Chapter 4. Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	
Summary of the Study	154
Limitations	157
Conclusion	158
Recommendations	159
 References	160
Appendices	177

List of Appendices

Appendix	Page
A Guide Questions for Document Analysis	177
B Semi-Structured Interview Guide	178
C Focus Group Discussion Guide Questions	179
D Interview Guide for Sugarcane Farmers	180
E Letter to the Head of the Campus	181
F Sample Signed Informed Consent	182
G Sample Calendar Recall Instrument	184
H Sample Technical Report in the Crafting of GTEC	192
I Manual on Sugarcane Growing	194
J Sample Verbatim Transcription of Interview Data	196
K Sample Data Analysis	199
L Verbatim Transcription of Interview Data from Sugarcane Farmers	203
M Pictures During Interview with Sugarcane Farmers	204
N Pictures of FGD with Research Participants	205
O Clearance to Proceed	206
P Certificate of Compliance	207
Q Certificate for Language Editing	208
R Authenticity Result	209
S Curriculum Vitae	210

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1	Conceptual Framework of the Study	12
2	The PCIP, the 4As and the Resultant Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development	136
3	The Dual-Growth Model of Curriculum Development	137

List of Tables

Table		Page
1	Summary Table of Curriculum Models	24
2	PCIP Stages in the Development of the Green Teacher Education Curriculum	51
3	Life and Growth Stages of Sugarcane and It's Cultivation Activities	114
4	Stages of Sugarcane's Life and Growth vis-à-vis Stages of Faculty Experience and Curriculum Development	118
5	Growth and Life Stages of Sugarcane Growth vis-à-vis Stages of Curriculum Development and Faculty Experience	124